BARREMIAN EARLIEST ANGIOSPERM POLLEN

by NORMAN F. HUGHES, GILLIAN E. DREWRY, and JOHN E. LAING

ABSTRACT. The first 'angiosperm' fossils from palaeolatitude Cretaceous 35° N. or more, appear to be tectate pollen from the English upper Wealden. This pollen with angiospermid characters is always less than 15% of Barremian palynomorph assemblages, and the usual grain diameter is less than 25 microns; it has, therefore, been necessary to study it by direct SEM search of smear mounts, enabling sculptural characters well below one micron to be used in correlation. Seven new fully described taxa and eight more candidate-taxa are presented from mid and late Barremian rocks of England; they have been described as boregids and comparison records, the principal developments in this paper being the use of names instead of numbers for the records and a provision for the orderly mention of subordinate records. The records have also been referred to existing taxa in the few cases in which this has been possible. Although most of the records are from the upper Wealden Group, others are from fully marine strata; they also continue to be well represented into the Lower Greensand above. Comparisons are made with fossils of lower Zone I of the Potomac Group of the eastern United States, and a preliminary correlation bracket is offered. The need for two separate, but compatible systems of data handling is discussed. Problems of extension of the evolutionary search both before and immediately after the mid Barremian are outlined.

The dispersed tectate pollen grains here studied from the upper part of the Wealden appear to be the earliest fossils with angiospermid characters in the English succession. The age of these first records is now established as mid Barremian and there is every prospect of progressive refinement of this time-correlation as palynological observations are extended. Any such time-scale correlation advances will also serve to date the comparable pollen of the lowest part of the Potomac Group of the eastern United States, for which stratigraphic control is less clear. Some of these angiospermid pollen grains have now also been found in marine strata of eastern England, and they will be useful in the difficult time-correlation of the essentially non-marine Wealden with the European and global time-scale.

These English fossils from about palaeolatitude 35° KrN occur most usefully in a long continuous rock succession which provides scope for a search for the pollen of immediate gymnospermous ancestors, although we do not yet have any success to offer in this field. If, however, the suggestion that fossils in some lower palaeolatitudes might eventually prove to be slightly earlier (Doyle et al. 1977), the critical evolution may have taken place there (palaeo-equatorially) and the English succession might be recording only migration.

SEM studies have revealed unexpected diversity in these very small pollen grains at this early stage of their history. The purpose of this paper is to present firm neutral taxonomic and stratigraphic documentation of these taxa that have so far been recorded in adequate quantity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The presence of Clavatipollenites in upper Wealden strata has been known for twenty years (Couper 1958; Hughes 1958), and unpublished records have subsequently

extended knowledge. However, light microscope searching for this relatively rare small pollen grain and making meaningful observations of it (Kemp 1968) proved laborious and inconclusive. Now that SEM study has greatly improved observation potential (Laing 1974, 1975), over 300 grains have been photographed in this Department in the last three years and it has become necessary to plan a new sequence of study of samples.

Initially most effort was put into core-samples from the Warlingham borehole (Worsam and Ivey-Cook 1971) which provided a relatively continuous section of rocks from Berriasian to earliest Aptian age; this gave information on first occurrences and succession and can still eventually give more on precursors of Clavatipollenites. The Isle of Wight (Atherfield) outcrop cliff section (White 1921) provided some check on the Warlingham succession and also a continuation into the Aptian where surprisingly the marine nature of the rocks did not decrease the number of records (see Kemp 1970). For marine correlation in Barremian time an extensive search of successions in Lincolnshire (Alford borehole; Swinnerton 1935), Yorkshire (Speeton), and Norfolk has begun; this will take longer if only because of the relative rarity of angiosperm pollen in these marine facies at a time when it was only just entering the Wealden succession.

The palynological samples that have been productive are mainly grey siltstones. They have been treated by a standard extraction method for light microscope study involving HCl, HF, centrifuging, and the use of zinc bromide (S.G. 2.0) as a heavy liquid; oxidation of unwanted organic matter was by concentrated HNO₃ for periods of from ten to thirty minutes with brief clearance by alkali using ammonium hydroxide (to avoid the exine swelling associated with use of KOH). A few samples very recently prepared specifically for SEM were not oxidized, or were treated for only one to two minutes. Some residues of preparations from more than fifteen years ago were stored in glycerine jelly; this was dispersed without difficulty by hot water; a few of these older preparations had been oxidized for longer periods but could not be remade for lack of sample.

SEM stubs were equipped with nickel grids (see Laing 1974) of a new design (by G. E. D.) with sufficient identification marks (text-fig. 1) for rapid relocation at high
magnifications. They are obtainable as Cambridge Geology Mark 2 nickel grids from Smethurst High-Light Ltd., 420 Chorley New Road, Bolton, Lancs., BL1 5BA. Adhesive for the grid to the stub is Reeves Acrylic Polymer Varnish Code 11409. Material from preparation residue (in distilled water) was strewn evenly over the whole stub. Specimens were coated with gold/palladium in the standard way. The grided stub was traversed systematically, and co-ordinate readings were kept for photographing selected specimens. The stubs were stored, and are recoated if observed again, but the negatives on 70 mm film (Ilford FP4) form the effective record. The SEM is a Cambridge S600.

DATA-HANDLING OPTIONS

Under the current pressures both on man hours available for study of such microfossils and on publication of the results, it is tempting to shorten the procedure and to abandon the use of taxa altogether in favour of simply obtained and reproduced records of the appearance of new characters. We have resisted this step and erected taxa because we prefer to keep our records compatible with past work and with generally accepted traditions.

The International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (ICBN) is ostensibly only concerned with names, but it presumes use of a Linnean system of taxonomy, and its protagonists have come over the years to attempt to make that use obligatory. This system is adequate for organisms from the single (Recent) time plane and, because of its cluster basis, works well enough for 'lumping' of organisms or taxa (even of fossils) for biological interpretation purposes (option LL—'Linnean lumping'). Perhaps its single greatest disadvantage is the type and synonymy arrangement which always requires good new 'wine' of more detailed scientific description to be submerged in outmoded jumbles of old 'bottles' of previous taxa which have to be retained for their antique priority of names.

For stratigraphical purposes, the need is for identification and labelling of differences (i.e. option SS—stratigraphic 'splitting') which logically requires an entirely opposite approach.

PALAEOONTOLOGICAL DATA-HANDLING SYSTEM SS

The data-handling system used below was described by Hughes (1975, 1976, p. 26). The principal developments in this paper are the use of names instead of numbers for the records (see Hughes and Croxton 1973), and a provision for the orderly mention of subordinate records which may be important but which have not yet been brought up to the data-base standards we are attempting to establish.

Reference taxa and filing. The reference taxa are biorecords that are comparable in scope with species, but each consists of a stated number of fossils from a stated unit of rock (usually a single sample). A biorecord once made, cannot be changed in circumscription or in name; it has no type, nor priority; it may be used, or ignored without formality, by subsequent workers. A biorecord must be accommodated in a genus box, which is essentially a file for search purposes which has morphologic limits
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Positional number</th>
<th>Preparation number</th>
<th>Sub number</th>
<th>File number</th>
<th>Biotaxa</th>
<th>Comparison records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F821</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F821</td>
<td>081906</td>
<td>3127-1,36</td>
<td>-EMBERT-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textit{d}i\textsc{m}i\textsc{a}-, \textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textit{d}i\textsc{m}i\textsc{a}-}}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B105</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B105</td>
<td>027189</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B231</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>B231</td>
<td>001400</td>
<td>3087-00</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K601</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>K601</td>
<td>027165</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K471</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>K471</td>
<td>01671,169</td>
<td>113,119</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K135</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>K135</td>
<td>00101,101</td>
<td>311,32</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>W11350/6</td>
<td>2327-70</td>
<td>21,15</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>W11350/10</td>
<td>2351,9,36</td>
<td>22,9,18,19</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1235-3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W1235-3</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>W11350/15</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/16</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/17</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/18</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/19</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/20</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/21</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/22</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/23</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/24</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/25</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W11350/26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>W11350/26</td>
<td>2072</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>-\textsc{d}i\textsc{e\textit{r}}\textsc{t}-</td>
<td>\textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All materials stored in Department of Geology, Sedgwick Museum, Cambridge. Sample key: K = Kingsclere borehole; WM = Warlingham borehole; I12g from Alford borehole, Lincolnshire; F and S = outcrop samples, Isle of Wight (see text-fig. 2).

against adjacent genusboxes. A superior file, known as a group, is organized on a stratigraphic time basis; we believe that because this is only a filing device, intermediate hierarchical terms are not necessary.

Comparison taxa. All other relevant assemblages of fossils that are considered significant are placed in taxa as comparison records, for which a degree of comparison with a biorecord (expressed as \textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}) is required from the observer; the presence of such a comparison record is then of direct application in stratigraphic correlation (Hughes and Moody-Stuart 1969).

EXPLANATION OF PLATE 57

Figs. 1-12. Cretaceous (angiosperm) pollen; \textit{e\textsc{f}a-\textsc{i}\textsc{t}h\textsc{m}y}: biorecord: Barremian age; Upper Wealden, Warlingham borehole, depth 1415 feet; preparation no. X105; Films B39, B40, B103, and B105 (GED); Stub JL5 (JFL). 1-2. Ref. 350728; 1, B103, 1, \textit{X} 2000, 2, B103, 1, \textit{X} 1000, 2, B103, 3, \textit{X} 1000, 3-4. Ref. 348184; 3, B40, 106, \textit{X} 5000, 4, B40, 107, \textit{X} 1000, 5-6. Ref. 300709; 5, B105, 21, \textit{X} 2000, 6, B105, 23, \textit{X} 1000, 7-8, Ref. 281754; 7, B39, 27, \textit{X} 2000, 8, B39, 29, \textit{X} 1000, 9-10. Ref. 360819; 9, B103, 24, \textit{X} 5000, 10, B103, 26, \textit{X} 1000. Film B41 (GED), Stub JL56 (JFL). 11-12. Ref. 282814; 11, B41, 27, \textit{X} 5000; 12, B41, 28, \textit{X} 1000.
HUGHES et al., Cretaceous angiospermid pollen
Nomenclature. The biorecord trivial name is intended to be pronounceable but does not normally exceed two syllables or seven letters; in order to be distinct from existing names, it is not latinized, and is set in one case of type, here capitals. The genusbox name is also pronounceable, with a normal limit of three syllables or eight letters. Trivial and genusbox names are always linked by a hyphen; trivial names appearing alone bear a hyphen in front of the word, e.g. -BACCAT-; genusbox names bear a hyphen at the end of the word, e.g. RETICLOT-.

Subordinate records. RETISULC-CAND(LAEVGAT) indicates an intended biorecord with trivial name -LAEVGAT which has as yet an insufficient number of specimens from any one sample for formal description, although numerous specimens may be known from a scatter of samples. PERFOTECT-SPOT represents interesting specimens with morphology within the limits of PERFOTECT-genusbox.

Standards. Our aim has been to obtain twenty specimens from one sample for a biorecord, but we accept eight for a comparison record, a quarter of these numbers is theoretically suitable, i.e. aim for five, accept two. The biorecord -CROTON is an exception (Table 2), made because of the existence of numerous comparison records of this taxon. However, as long as the facts are provided, subsequent workers can decide whether to jettison a biorecord.

SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTIONS

As many details as possible of the biorecords and of some sub-taxa are shown on Tables I and 2. Comparison records are not described or figured.

GROUP EARLYCRETIPOD

Nature of file. To contain all fossil pollen, or supposed pollen, of early Cretaceous age. Subgroups may subsequently be erected for time-divisions within the early Cretaceous. Morphological groupings should be expressed in genusbox or genus.

GENUSBOX RETISULC-

Descriptive limits. Monosaccate semiellipsate columnar pollen, excluding biorecords in which other aperture arrangements, or in which full tecta, may predate. The tectum appears as a continuous reticulum, described in terms of muri and lumina; muri with expanded supratectal processes as in SUPERRET- are excluded.

EXPLANATION OF PLATE 58

Figs. 1–12. Cretaceous (angiospermid) pollen; Barremian age; Upper Wealden, Warlingham borehole. RETISULC-NEWLING biorecord: depth 1333/10 feet; preparation no. W010; Film B21, Stub JL49 (JFL); Films B25, B33, and B34 (JFL), Stub JL35 (JFL); Film B100 (GED); Stub JL52 (JFL). 1–2, Ref. 285648; 1, B34/1, × 5000; 2, B34/3, × 10000. 3–4, Ref. 258641; 3, B33/13, × 2000; 4, B33/12, × 10000. 5–6, Ref. 340765; 5, B25/3, × 5000; 6, B25/4, × 10000. 7–8, Ref. 341840; 7, B21/15, × 5000; 8, B21/16, × 10000. 9–10, Ref. 252782; 9, B100/27, × 5000; 10, B100/29, × 20000. RETISULC-CAND(LAEVGAT): depth 1415/6 feet; preparation no. X105; Film B40 (GED); Stub JL55 (JFL). 11–12, Ref. 333828; 11, B40/94, × 5000; 12, B40/95, × 10000.
HUGHES et al., Cretaceous angiospermid pollen
### Table 2. Descriptive data for comparison of all figured biorecords and sub-taxis mentioned in the text section on systematics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biozone</th>
<th>Number of specimens</th>
<th>Maximum depth μm</th>
<th>Aperture</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>No. turned left, right, or both</th>
<th>Columella</th>
<th>Muschel</th>
<th>Supernatural processes</th>
<th>Processed depth μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DENTAT</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>MONOCHL.</td>
<td>ELLIPSOID</td>
<td>10 (5, 5, 5)</td>
<td>4 (3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>2 (1, 1, 1)</td>
<td>3 (2, 2, 2)</td>
<td>5 (4, 4, 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BACCAT</strong></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>MONOCHL.</td>
<td>SUB-SPHE.</td>
<td>5 (5, 5, 5)</td>
<td>2 (2, 2, 2)</td>
<td>3 (3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>4 (4, 4, 4)</td>
<td>6 (6, 6, 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MONBAC</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>MONOCHL.</td>
<td>SUB-SPHE.</td>
<td>8 (8, 8, 8)</td>
<td>2 (2, 2, 2)</td>
<td>4 (4, 4, 4)</td>
<td>5 (5, 5, 5)</td>
<td>6 (6, 6, 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DIDENT</strong></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>MONOCHL.</td>
<td>SPHER.</td>
<td>10 (10, 10, 10)</td>
<td>2 (2, 2, 2)</td>
<td>3 (3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>4 (4, 4, 4)</td>
<td>7 (7, 7, 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NEWLING</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>MONOCHL.</td>
<td>ELONGATE</td>
<td>3 (3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>2 (2, 2, 2)</td>
<td>3 (3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>4 (4, 4, 4)</td>
<td>5 (5, 5, 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CROTON</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>MONOCHL.</td>
<td>ELLIPSOID</td>
<td>5 (5, 5, 5)</td>
<td>2 (2, 2, 2)</td>
<td>3 (3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>4 (4, 4, 4)</td>
<td>6 (6, 6, 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRIANG</strong></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>MONOCHL.</td>
<td>ELLIPSOID</td>
<td>8 (8, 8, 8)</td>
<td>2 (2, 2, 2)</td>
<td>3 (3, 3, 3)</td>
<td>4 (4, 4, 4)</td>
<td>5 (5, 5, 5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values are given where appropriate with maximum and minimum observations in parentheses. Number placed above in certain boxes indicates the number of observations made when it was less than the number of specimens. For other data see appropriate plates and text. Key: 0 refers to specimens without processes; — = observation could not be made.

---

**Explanation of Plate 59**

Figs. 1-12. Cretaceous (angiospermid) pollen; RETBUSC-MONBAC biorecord: Barremian age; Upper Wealden. 1-2, Kingsclere borehole, depth 475 feet; preparation no. X081; Film B77 (GED); Stub JL48 (JFL). 1-2, Ref. 238685; 1, B77/28, × 2000; 2, B77/30, × 10000. 3-4, Film B32 (JFL); Stub JL47 (JFL); Ref. 340848; 3, B32/31, × 5000; 4, B32/32, × 10000. 5-6, Kingsclere borehole, depth 474 feet; preparation no. X307; Film B115 and B116 (GED); Stub GD167 (GED); 5-6, Ref. 340851; 5, B116/15, × 2000; 6, B116/17, × 10000. 7-8, Ref. 291813; 7, B115/11, × 2000; 8, B115/14, × 20000. 9-10, Kingsclere borehole, depth 475 feet; preparation no. X081; Film B32 (JFL); Stub JL47 (JFL). Ref. 275709; 9, B32/3, × 2000; 10, B32/2, × 10000. 11-12, RETBUSC-CAND(TEBBAC); Kingsclere borehole, depth 474 feet; Film B115 (GED); Stub GD167; Ref. 312787; 11, B115/26, × 5000; 12, B115/28, × 20000.
HUGHES et al., Cretaceous angiospermid pollen
Description. Processes in a single row on murus; processes pointed with round base diameter less than murus width.

Comparison records. cfA: WM1423/2, WM1353/6, WM1333/10, S44.

Biorecord *retisulic-dentat*: Plate 57

Description. Murus smooth but ridged, like a roof.

Comparison records. cfA: WM1487/7, WM1415/6, WM1394/1, WM1345.

cfB: F317.

Biorecord *retisulic-monorac*: Plate 59 (1–10)

Locality. K474 + K475.

Description. Processes low rounded, across full width of murus.

Comparison record. cfA: F317.

Biorecord *retisulic-dident*: Plate 60

Description. Low rounded processes in two lines, one along each side of the murus top. Some development of muri ending blindly within lumina.

Comparison record. cfA: S38.

*retisulic-cand(laevegat)*: Plate 58 (11–12)

Character. Muri entirely smooth (laevegat), and flat-topped.

*retisulic-cand(crochet)*: Plate 63 (10–12)

Character. Laevegat muri with two sizes of lumina, evenly distributed (unlike *Lilicadites* of Doyle and Robbins 1977).

*retisulic-cand(teebac)*: Plate 59 (11–12)

Character. Muri appear to be closely cross-segmented throughout.

*retisulic-cand(dident)*: Plate 64 (1–4)

Locality. K469 and F317; early Aptian.

Character. Supractectal coni in two rows, one along either side of each murus. Reticulium loosely attached to smooth exine, with short basal remnants of columellae.

**EXPLANATION OF PLATE 60**

Figs. 1–12. Cretaceous (angiospermid) pollen; *retisulic-dident* biorecord: Aptian age; Lower Greensand, Crackers Bed, Atherfield, Isle of Wight; 94 feet above Perna Bed (see Kemp 1970); preparation nos. F317; Films B132, B133, B134, and B136 (GED); Stubs GD180 (GED). 1–3, Ref. 363736, 1, B134/14, ×2000; 2, B134/16, ×10000; 3, B134/17, ×20000. 4–5, Ref. 323857, 4, B132/10, ×2000; 5, B132/12, ×10000. 6–7, Ref. 323858, 6, B132/7, ×5000; 7, B132/8, ×10000. 8–9, Ref. 239827, 8, B136/17, ×20000; 9, B136/20, ×20000. 10–12, Ref. 358733, 10, B133/27, ×2000; 11, B133/30, ×10000; 12, B133/40, ×20000.
**Description limits.** Trichotomosulcate, or tetra-, or penta-; semitectate columellate pollen.

**Biorecord Retichot-Baccat:** Plate 61

**Description.** Processes low rounded; across full width of murus as in Retichot-Monbac.

**Comparison records.** cfA: WM1336/6, WM1298/4; Ifrg(Alford).

**cfB:** F317.

---

**Genusbox Superret**

**Description limits.** Semitectate columellate pollen; monocolpate. Supratectal elements transverse to muri (cretaceous pattern).

**Biorecord Superret-Croton:** Plate 62

**Locality.** K474 + K475.

**Description.** Triangular and rectangular plan supratectal elements (Pl. 62, fig. 6).

**Comparison records.** cfA: WM1333/10; S38(IOW); Ifrg(Alford).

**Biorecord Superret-Triang:** Plate 63 (1–9)

**Locality.** K474 + K475.

**Description.** Triangular plan supratectal elements only (Pl. 63, fig. 7; compare with Pl. 62).

**Comparison records.** cfA: S38(IOW); F317(IOW).

---

**Superret-Cand(subcrot):** Plate 64 (9–12)

**Character.** Closely packed cretaceous supratectal elements; this pollen may be trichotomosulcate.

**Superret-Cand(krinkel):** Plate 62 (11–12)

**Character.** Supratectal elements with crenulated margins.

---

**Genusbox Perfotect**

**Description limits.** Tectate columellate pollen. Lumina smaller than 'murus' width.

**Perfotect-splot:** Plate 64 (5–8)

**Locality.** K469 and K474.

**Character.** Believed to be tectate but not yet sectioned.

---

**Explanations of Plate 61**

Figs. 1–12. Cretaceous (angiospermoid) pollen; Retichot-Baccat biorecord: Barremian age: Upper Wealden, Warlingham borehole, depth 1331/10 feet; preparation no. W106; Films B8 and B33 (JFL), Stub JL25 (JFL), Films B18 and B19 (JFL), Stub JL40 (JFL); Film B23 (JFL), Stub JL50 (JFL); Film B25 (JFL), Stub JL36 (JFL). 1–2. Ref. 268778; 1, B18/33, ×5000; 2, B18/34, ×10000. 3–4. Ref. 263811; 3, B23/7, ×5000; 4, B23/8, ×10000. 5–6. Ref. 268731; 5, B18/5, ×5000; 6, B19/6, ×10000. 7–8. Ref. 230774; 7, B33/1, ×5000; 8, B33/3, ×10000. 9–10. Ref. 268728; 9, B8/21, ×5000; 10, B8/22, ×19000. 11–12. Ref. 325709; 11, B25/21, ×5000; 12, B25/22, ×10000.
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STRATIGRAPHIC RECORD

As indicated on Table 1, the biorecords, candidate records, and a large number of comparison records have been taken from eleven borehole and four outcrop samples. The preparations of many more samples in these rock sequences are in the reconnaissance stage of study, and they are expected to provide numerous further comparison records in due course. To save space, we have deferred detailed use of the comparison records to arrive at correlation brackets (as in Hughes and Moody-Stuart 1969; Hughes and Croxton 1973). The purpose here is to document the reference taxa and main framework, both for stratigraphical correlation which is promising although laborious, and for evolutionary work in which plant affinities have to be found for the pollen and in which extensive further exploration is obviously required.

Biorecord sites are selected after reaching adequate abundance and quality but, where there is choice, they are taken low in their apparent comparison-range as known at the time of observation; as suggested above, they will be superseded by better biorecords if opportunity offers.

Text-fig. 2 shows the stratigraphic position of samples which mostly fall within the rude 'fissicostatum' zones (mid Barremian) of Rawson et al. (1978). Suggested intersection correlations are shown by the relative positions of the sample numbers; the only direct correlations with the reference scale are from the Alford (Lincolnshire) sample from the Fulletby Beds, and from F317 in the Isle of Wight Lower Greensand. Further Norfolk, Lincolnshire, and Yorkshire samples have yielded angiosperm pollen specimens, but so far mostly as single grains. The angiosperm pollen (AP) Events O-4 are recognized as a rough guide to sequence but are not intended to serve yet as biozones of any kind.

TIME-CORRELATION OF THE LOWEST PART OF THE POTOMAC GROUP

The lower Zone I rocks (Doyle 1969, 1973, 1977a, 1977b) will remain difficult to date because they were probably laid down patchily over an irregular surface of unconformity. They also show little outcrop, and the interesting palynomorphs are rare. We believe therefore that the best policy is to erect firm unambiguous taxa in the English succession which is more complete; substantial comparison records can then eventually be created in the Patuxent.

From the present evidence, it appears that Delaware City D12-770 (Doyle and Robbins 1977) could be just later than Kingsclere K474, and distinctly earlier than F317, which could mean lowermost Aptian age. This is suggested by the presence of *Retimnocolpite peroeciculatus*, and less valuable the absence of the several English Barremian taxa of Events AP1 and AP2. In reality, however, this can be no more than a framework for further investigation of the succession after Event AP3 in Britain (text-fig. 2), and of lower Zone I of the Potomac Group.
TEXT-FIG. 2. Diagram (thickness of beds not to scale) showing position of fifteen samples, inter-sequence correlations, and correlations to Boreal stratigraphic scale. AO-4 = successive angiospermid pollen assemblages. Samples: Hrg = 146 feet in Alford borehole (Swinnerton 1935), Lower Roach (Fulletby Beds); F317 = Crackers Bed (Kemp 1970), 30 feet below top of Atherfield Clay formation; S38 = Atherfield Bed 35 (White 1921), base of Weald Shales, foot of Cowicke Chine; S44 = just below Atherfield Bed 1 (White 1921), Weald Marls, Sedmore Point.

'LINNEAN' TAXONOMY LL

As mentioned above under 'data-handling options', the Linnean taxonomy LL which is dependent on ICBN, is in current use by other authors. Both the genera (below) and the species (next section) suffer greatly from lack of agreed definition, and the principal reason for this is the difficulty of effectively accommodating modern accurate descriptive characters in old taxa that are already widely used but unavoidably ill-defined (even over as little time as twenty-five years).

Genera in use. Most of the types of the relevant published taxa were originally studied on light microscopes, and the detail of sculpture was as a result unclear. Doyle and Robbins (1977) and Doyle (1975, not 1973) have developed a consistent policy of using four genera:

Stellatoportis Doyle et al. 1975: discrete suprarectal elements (crotonoid); SEM study.
Liliicidites Couper 1955: reticulum of two lumen sizes with smaller lumina concentrated at poles or sulcus.

Retimonocolpites Pierce 1961: coarsely reticulate, i.e. lumina greater than 2 μm diameter.

Clavatipollenites Couper 1958: finely columellar, finely reticulate; granular sulcus membrane.

Other authors such as Brenner (1963), Kemp (1968), Singh (1971), Dettmann (1973), and Laing (1975) had each used the three earlier genera in different ways, usually reducing their number in synonymy on grounds of priority. This amounted to normal palaeontological practice and was understandable, although as a whole confusing. Doyle and Robbins (1977) sensed from SEM observations that there was great variety in the material; thus they rightly sought uses for all the existing names. Unless, however, the genera are all redescribed and diagnosed with limits against each other, the confusion and probably even the attempts to construct synonymies will continue.

Stability of genera. A central problem is that the holotype of C. hughesii Couper 1958 is an overmacerated (20 μm) specimen in a glycerine jelly mount which also contains holotypes of other palynomorph taxa; it would be wrong to disturb the preparation even if one could be certain of successfully extracting the specimen, washing it, and re-examining it on an SEM stub. Additionally, we now know from SEM study that the sample (K475) has yielded at least seven kinds (see Table 1) of tectate pollen; the assemblages of six specimens (Couper 1958) or of a hundred (Kemp 1968) will have included unknown proportions of all seven and probably more. The holotype seems as likely from size and appearance to have been a small crotonoid as a reticulate type. Otherwise, in the context of present knowledge, Clavatipollenites lacks sufficiently clear meaning to be used at all as a genus but could be used as a supra-generic group based on Doyle and Robbins’ (1977) interpretation of Couper’s (1958) diagnosis. Liliicidites was not erected for early Cretaceous pollen, and in fact its author declined in 1958 to use it for his own newly discovered and distinct early tectate pollen; its use now is understandable in an LL context but perhaps Couper’s (1958) decision should be respected. Retimonocolpites alone retains a clear use for reticulate forms with lumina and muri large enough to be resolved on a light microscope, although the character appears to be combined with a lack (? loss) of actual columellae and a consequent looseness of fitting of the tectum on the nexine.
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As will be seen below, the existing relevant taxa used for specimens from the Potomac Group lower Zone I are few and poorly defined. This is partly because of the small number of specimens so far discovered and figured, and partly because none of the taxa concerned are based in these strata. The rocks concerned are from Delaware City well D12 depths 765–70 feet, and a few Patuxent outcrops such as Dutch Gap Canal and Baltimore–Susquehanna Aqueduct. (Upper Zone I records are from above 745 feet in the well and from the Arrandale Formation; they are richer in specimens but are probably of earliest Albanian age and are quite distinct.)

Each taxon is separately discussed from the point of view of which English specimens could be accommodated in it:

1. cf. *C. hughesii*. Doyle and Robbins (1977) have used this form of the name, while Doyle *et al.* (1975) used *C. cf. hughesii* for some excellent SEM and TEM figures. In view, however, of the difficulties about the holotype mentioned in the last section, neither subtlety is effective and such nomenclature is bound to be both cumbersome and indefinite. The biorecord RETISULC-MONBAC compares closely with the specimens of *Doyle et al.* (1975) except that it is smaller, but to place it under this name cannot be meaningful.

2. *Clavatipollenites* sp. A. Doyle and Robbins (1977, pl. 1, figs. 4–5) record a large grain (30 μm) which we have not yet observed.

3. aff. *C. minutus* Brenner. Doyle and Robbins (1977, pl. 1, figs. 6–8) provide only a light micrograph of this small grain (19 μm); it cannot therefore be matched.

4. *R. peroreticulatus* (Brenner) Doyle, as figured by Doyle and Robbins (1977, pl. 1, figs. 9–11) could well include RETISULC-CAND(DUBDENT) from the sample K469 and some similar specimens we have from outerop samples of early Aptian age. The effective description of this species is, however, from later Zone I.

5. *Liliacladites* sp. B. Doyle and Robbins (1977, pl. 1, figs. 12–14) only provide a light micrograph. RETISULC-CAND(CROCHET) is smaller but may compare if the muri of the Potomac specimens prove to be unsculptured.

6. *Stellatopolis* sp. Two figured specimens from Fish Hut are in (a) *Doyle et al.* (1975, pl. 8, figs. 6–8), and (b) Hickey and Doyle (1977, fig. 4ij). Biorecord SUPERRET-CROTON probably compares.

---

**Explanation of Plate 63**

Figs. 1–12. Cretaceous (angiospermid) pollen; Barremian age; Upper Wealden. SUPERRET-TRIANG biorecord: 1–7, Kingsclere borehole, depth 475 feet; preparation no. X681; Film B31 (JFL), Stub JL47 (JFL); Film B77 (GED), Stub JL48 (JFL). 1–3, Ref. 257794; 1, B31/17, ×2000; 2, B31/15, ×5000; 3, B31/16, ×10000. 4–5, Ref. 238859; 4, B31/7, ×2000; 5, B31/6, ×10000. 6–7, Ref. 260657; 6, B77/12, ×2000; 7, B77/14, ×10000. 8–9, Kingsclere borehole, depth 474 feet; preparation no. X307; Film B115 (GED); Stub GD167 (GED); Ref. 320816; 8, B115/29, ×2000; 9, B115/30, ×5000. RETISULC-CAND(CROCHET): 10–12, Kingsclere borehole; 10–11, depth 474 feet; preparation no. X307; Film B115 (GED); Stub GD167 (GED); Ref. 298836; 10, B115/19, ×5000; 11, B115/21, ×20000. 12, Film B78 (NFH); Stub 48 (JFL); Ref. 308386, B78/15, ×10000.
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Thus some of our biorecords could be assembled in the generic taxa of Doyle in an LL context for general palaeobiological interpretative purposes, the two systems being adequately compatible.

PALAEOBIOLOGICAL INTEREST IN ANGIOSPERM ORIGINS

If a semitectate sexine together with a non-laminated interapertural nexine (Doyle et al. 1975) are to be taken as indicative angiospermid characters, they must have arisen in early Barremian or just earlier time from characters acknowledged as gymnospermous. Because these angiospermid characters appear first in exclusively monosulcate grains (Hughes and Drewry 1978; event AP1), gymnospermous monosulcate come under scrutiny as precursors of Retisulc-Dentat, which is the earliest tectate grain observed in quantity. Even the very few earlier records, single grains of quite different sculpture (Hughes 1977b, pl. 1), were probably monosulcate. It also seems possible that Retisulc-Candric (Tebac) on Plate 3 (11–12) may be an important type with the tectum muri apparently segmented; specimens have been seen in several other samples and more may be recorded when good preservation and better than normal SEM resolution coincide.

Similar exine patterns were developed in tricolpates, but later, in Albian time. Retichot-Baccat appears to be trichotomosulcate but is not obviously or necessarily connected with either monosulcate or tricolpate evolution.

The SEM study of samples below WM1488/7 is slow because it lacks such a clear positive search element. SEM magnifications have revealed unsuspected sculptural variety in monosulcate that presumably belonged to Nilssoniales (see Krassilov 1975, 1977), Bennettitales, Czekanowskiales, or Ginkgoales, but none have yet been brought to biorecord standard. We have also recorded interesting sculpture in Eucommidites and Classopolis under the same conditions. It is not known whether evolution or immigration controlled events in this area. SEM search of late Barremian to mid Aptian available samples is simply a matter of man-hours and organization of data.

The rapidly increasing volume of records of tectate pollen now provide a very firm basis of timing of the origin of the angiosperms. Building up associated and integrated records of leaves, wood, and seeds will also again be a matter of effort and organization but there is no longer any question of ‘mystery’ in this topic.

EXPLANATION OF PLATE 64

Figs. 1-12. Cretaceous (angiospermid) pollen. 1-4, Barremian-Aptian age; Retisulc-Candric (Dentat); 1-2, Upper Wealden, Kingsclere borehole, depth 469 feet; preparation no. X306; Film B108 (GED); Stub GD165 (GED); Ref. 249783; 1, B108/8, × 5000; 2, B108/10, × 10000; 3-4, Lower Greensand, Crakers Bed, Atherfield, 94 feet above Perns Bed, Isle of Wight; preparation no. F317; Film B129 (GED); Stub GD180 (GED); Ref. 291877; 3, B129/42, × 5000; 4, B129/44, × 10000. 5-8, Peritoch-Spott; depth 474 feet; preparation no. X307; Film B116 (GED); Stub GD180 (GED); Ref. 376795; 5, B116/31, × 5000; 6, B116/33, × 20000. 7-8, Kingsclere borehole, depth 469 feet; preparation no. X396; Film B108 (GED); Stub GD165 (GED); Ref. 255801; 7, B108/13, × 5000; 8, B108/15, × 10000. 9-12, Barremian age; Upper Wealden; Kingsclere borehole, depth 474 feet; preparation no. X307; Superret-Candric (Dentat); Films B117 and B118 (GED); Stub GD108 (GED). 9-10, Ref. 284079; 9, B117/30, × 5000; 10, B117/31, × 10000. 11-12, Ref. 316733; 11, B118/7, × 2000; 12, B118/10, × 20000.
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