

The Meg in 3D: Blender edition Jack A. Cooper¹, John R. Hutchinson², Matt L. Dicken^{3,4}, Jan Menzel³ & Catalina Pimiento^{1,5}

Introduction

- Combining exceptionally preserved fossils with computational modelling has allowed palaeontologists to reconstruct entire bodies of extinct animals, most notably dinosaurs from full skeletons [1,2].
- Extinct sharks, however, have particularly poor preservation due to their cartilaginous skeletons – with only hard teeth and occasional vertebrae preserved.
- In the case of the extinct giant shark, Otodus megalodon (Miocene-Pliocene), the Great White Shark (GWS) (Carcharodon carcharias) is considered the best available ecological analogue [3-5].
- Moreover, jaws have been reconstructed and a vertebral column (IRSNB 3121/P. 9893) of ~150 vertebrae was discovered in Belgium in 1926 [3].
- Here, we use CT scans of this exceptional vertebral column, and of the GWS, to create the first ever 3D computational model of O. *megalodon*, the largest macropredatory shark that ever lived.



Figure 2: An O. megalodon jaw reconstruction from Belgium imported into Blender; and the vertebral column (IRSNB 3121) attached to it. Together, these mark our most exceptional fossil remains of O. megalodon.



¹Department of Biosciences, Swansea University, Swansea SA28PP, UK ²Department of Comparative Biomedical Sciences, Royal Veterinary College, AL9 7TA, UK ³KwaZulu-Natal Sharks Board, Umhlanga Rocks 4320, South Africa

⁴Department of Development Studies, Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University, Port Elizabeth 6031, South Africa

⁵Smithsonian Tropical Research Institution, Balboa, Panama

Materials and methods

- O. megalodon teeth and vertebrae were measured directly at the **Royal Belgian Institution of Natural Sciences.**
- Crown height (CH) of A2 teeth were used to calculate possible TL of our model based on previously published equations [6].
- Jaw reconstruction & vertebral column were CT scanned, imported into Blender [7], and scaled to real size based on measurements of the vertebral column (~155 mm diameter for largest centrum).
- A CT scanned GWS skull from a previous study [8] was added to the column, and the flesh was recreated with an octagonal hooping method previously used in dinosaur reconstructions [1,2].
- This hooping method was repeated around the body and individual fins of a full body scan of a GWS (3-3.5 m TL) scaled up to fit the spinal column from head to fork.

Results

 When scaled to real size, the vertebral column is revealed to be ~11.1 m long, significantly longer than a previously calculated TL for the shark this column came from [3]. CH-TL calculations suggest a shark of ~13.87 m TL (Table 1), but the completed model measures as ~15.93 m TL, a size deemed to be exceptionally large for <i>O. megalodon</i> [6]. Computing geometric measures in Meshlab [9] reveals a shark that weighed ~51.96 metric tons/ 51,959.26 kg. 16 2D measurements previously estimated for an <i>O. megalodon</i> of this size were measured in Blender [7], with all falling within the predicted mean ± standard deviation ranges of that study [5]. 		• Th du
		• Th do lik rec mu
		<i>O</i> . the
		• Bo for • Th
Table 1. Possible model TL calculated from A2 tooth, based on previously published findings that these are the best teeth for calculating TL [6].		ext
Label	3121. 2.1.S.D	
Tooth position	2 nd right in 1 st row; upper jaw	
Tooth type	A2	
CH (mm)	114.45	
Equation [6]	12.103 (CH) + 2.160	



- Acknowledgements
- Annelise Folie for allowing access to the fossils in Belgium
- Jeanette Pirlo for obtaining the original CT scans of fossils
- Stephen Wroe for providing GWS skull scan
- Callum Kunz for assistance in poster design
- Alison Towner for establishing contact with the KZN Shark Board, who provided the critical full body GWS scan



Discussion

e completed model being larger than the CH-TL calculation is ie to the teeth not being associated with the vertebral column [3].

e body is rather thin (close to minimum predicted values of orsal-abdomen measurements from the 2D study); however this is kely because only a single GWS of ~3 m TL was used to construct the body. The previous 2D study found that using ultiple ecological & physiological analogues as a model to recreate *megalodon* produced a stockier reconstruction than using only e GWS [5].

ody mass of ~52 metric tons is consistent with previous suggestions r a shark of this size [3].

nis study marks the first ever 3D computational model of an tinct giant shark; which can now be used to calculate a variety of ertial properties.

• 1. Allen V, Paxton H & Hutchinson JR 2009. Variation in center of mass estimates for extant sauropsids and its importance for reconstructing inertial properties of extinct archosaurs. Anat. Rec. 292, 1442-1461. • 2. Allen V, Bates KT, Li Z & Hutchinson JR 2013. Linking the evolution of body shape and locomotor biomechanics in bird-line archosaurs. Nature 497, 104-107.

• 3. Gottfried MD, Compagno LJV & Bowman SC 1996. Size and skeletal anatomy of the giant "megatooth" shark Carcharodon megalodon. In: Great White Sharks: the biology of Carcharodon carcharias (eds. Klimley AP & Ainley DG), pp. 55–66, Academic Press, San Diego.

• 4. Pimiento C, MacFadden BJ, Clements CF, Varela S, Jaramillo C, Velez-Juarbe J & Silliman BR 2016. Geographical distribution patterns of Carcharocles megalodon over time reveal clues about extinction mechanisms. J. Biogeogr. 43, 1645-1655.

• 5. Cooper JA, Pimiento C, Ferrón HG & Benton MJ (in review). Body dimensions of the extinct giant shark Otodus megalodon: A 2D reconstruction. Sci. Rep.

• 6. Shimada K 2019. The size of the megatooth shark, Otodus megalodon (Lamniformes: Otodontidae), revisited. Hist. Biol. 1-8. • 7. Garwood R & Dunlop J 2014. The walking dead: Blender as a tool for palaeontologists with a case

study on extinct arachnids. J. Paleontol. 88, 735-746. • 8. Wroe S, Huber DR, Lowry M, McHenry C, Moreno K, Clausen P, Ferrara TL, Cunningham E, Dean

MN & Summers AP 2008. Three-dimensional computer analysis of white shark jaw mechanics: how hard can a great white bite?. J. Zool. 276, 336-342.

• 9. Cignoni P, Callieri M, Corsini M, Dellepiane M, Ganovelli F & Ranzuglia G 2008. Meshlab: an opensource mesh processing tool. In Eurographics Italian chapter conference (Vol. 2008, pp. 129-136).