Talk Prize Judging Sheet

Name of presenter	
Session	
Name of judge	

Scores: 1 = poor, 2 = lacking, 3 = adequate, 4 = good, 5 = outstanding

Category	Score (/5)
Hypothesis Was the hypothesis or question introduced in an accessible manner (to palaeontologists from any subdiscipline)?	
Novelty Was it clear how the hypothesis or question builds on previous work?	
Technical aspects Were the methods adequately explained (given time constraints) and appropriate for the results? Were technical terminology and acronyms explained?	
Conclusions Does the data presented represent the findings discussed? Was it clear how the results fitted with the conclusions drawn? Was it clearly stated how the hypothesis or question was addressed by the work presented?	
Context Were concepts well explained? Was there a logical flow to the talk?	
Presentation Was the spoken presentation given in a clean, adequately rehearsed manner? *Please take into consideration that English may not be the first language of the presenter.*	
Aesthetics Were the slides consistently and clearly laid out? Were the slides well designed? Were all elements clearly legible (graph axis, units of measurement etc)? Is there appropriate referencing? *Please consider that using videos and rendered images does not necessarily constitute a high score*	
Time keeping Please keep a track of talk time. Did the presenter keep time to allow questions? *Score 3 for within time and 0 for over time.*	
Total (/38)	

Comments